IN THE UNITED STAES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ROCHESTER DRUG CO-OPERATIVE, INC.	20-20230-PRW
DEBTOR(S)	CHAPTER 11
ADVISORY TRUST GROUP, LLC, AS TRUSTEE OF THE RDC LIQUIDATION TRUST,	
PLAINTIFF, V.	
SENO, INC. D/B/A/ FALCON EXPRESS & COURIER; FALCON EXPRESS & COURIER	
DEFENDANT. : : : : :	: : : : : : :

DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR AVOIDANCE AND RECOVERY OF PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS AND OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

AND NOW COMES, Seno, Inc. d/b/a Falcon Express & Courier; Falcon Express & Courier, by and through its attorney John J. Martin and files this Answer to Complaint For Avoidance and Recovery of Preferential Transfers and Objections to Claims as follows:

- 1. Admitted.
- 2. Admitted.
- 3. Admitted.
- 4. Admitted.
- 5. Admitted.
- 6. Admitted.
- 7. Admitted.

8. Admitted.
9. Admitted.
10. Admitted.
11. Admitted.
12. Admitted.
13. Admitted. By way of further response, the Defendant reserves the right to file a
proof of claim for any amounts due Defendant from the bankruptcy estate.
14. Admitted.
15. Admitted.
16. Admitted.
17. It is denied that Defendant is liable to Plaintiff under a preference theory of recovery
and strict proof thereof is demanded at trial. By way of further response, to the extend a valid
cause of action exists, it is admitted that the same would be an asset of the Plaintiff.
18. Admitted.
19. Admitted.
20. Admitted.
21. Admitted.
22. Admitted.
23. Denied and strict proof thereof is demanded at trial.
24. No response is required.
25. Admitted.

- 26. Plaintiff's claims may be asserted in "good faith". By way of further response,

 Defendant possesses affirmative defenses, and the Defendant reserves the right to assert all such
 defenses.
 - 27. No response is required.
 - 28. No response is required.
- 29. Admitted that the Defendant received Transfers. Defendant reserves the right to contest the accounting as set forth on Plaintiff's Exhibit "A" after Defendant has had the opportunity to review its own books and records.
 - 30. Admitted.
 - 31. Admitted.
 - 32. Admitted.
- 33. Admitted that the Defendant received Transfers. Defendant reserves the right to contest the accounting as set forth on Plaintiff's Exhibit "A" after Defendant has had the opportunity to review its own books and records.
 - 34. Denied and strict proof thereof is demanded at trial.
 - 35. Denied and strict proof thereof is demanded at trial.
- 36. This is a legal conclusion to which no response is required. By way of further response each Transfer received by the Defendant was a payment made in the ordinary "course of business" of the Debtor and Defendant's and is therefore not recoverable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §547(c)(2). This is a subjective test. And further each Transfer received by the Defendant was a payment made in the ordinary "course of business" and made in accordance with ordinary business terms and is therefore not recoverable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §547(c)(2). This is an objective test.

- 37. Admitted.
- 38. Denied and strict proof thereof is demanded at trial.
- 39. No response is required.
- 40. Denied and strict proof thereof is demanded at trial. By way of further response each Transfer received by the Defendant was a payment made in the ordinary "course of business" of the Debtor and Defendant's and is therefore not recoverable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §547(c)(2). This is a subjective test. And further each Transfer received by the Defendant was a payment made in the ordinary "course of business" and made in accordance with ordinary business terms and is therefore not recoverable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §547(c)(2). This is an objective test.
 - 41. Admitted.
- 42. Denied and strict proof thereof is demanded at trial. By way of further response each Transfer received by the Defendant was a payment made in the ordinary "course of business" of the Debtor and Defendant's and is therefore not recoverable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §547(c)(2). This is a subjective test. And further each Transfer received by the Defendant was a payment made in the ordinary "course of business" and made in accordance with ordinary business terms and is therefore not recoverable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §547(c)(2). This is an objective test.
 - 43. No response is required.
- 44. Denied and strict proof thereof is demanded at trial. By way of further response each Transfer received by the Defendant was a payment made in the ordinary "course of business" of the Debtor and Defendant's and is therefore not recoverable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §547(c)(2). This is a subjective test. And further each Transfer received by the Defendant was a payment

made in the ordinary "course of business" and made in accordance with ordinary business terms and is therefore not recoverable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §547(c)(2). This is an objective test.

- 45. Admitted that Defendant has made no payments to Plaintiff. It is denied that Defendant is liable to the Plaintiff and strict proof thereof is demanded at trial. By way of further response each Transfer received by the Defendant was a payment made in the ordinary "course of business" of the Debtor and Defendant's and is therefore not recoverable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §547(c)(2). This is a subjective test. And further each Transfer received by the Defendant was a payment made in the ordinary "course of business" and made in accordance with ordinary business terms and is therefore not recoverable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §547(c)(2). This is an objective test.
- 46. No response is required. To the extent that a response is required, the same is denied and strict proof thereof is demanded at trial. By way of further response each Transfer received by the Defendant was a payment made in the ordinary "course of business" of the Debtor and Defendant's and is therefore not recoverable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §547(c)(2). This is a subjective test. And further each Transfer received by the Defendant was a payment made in the ordinary "course of business" and made in accordance with ordinary business terms and is therefore not recoverable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §547(c)(2). This is an objective test.
- 47. No response is required. To the extent that a response is required, the same is denied and strict proof thereof is demanded at trial. By way of further response each Transfer received by the Defendant was a payment made in the ordinary "course of business" of the Debtor and Defendant's and is therefore not recoverable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §547(c)(2). This is a subjective test. And further each Transfer received by the Defendant was a payment made in the

ordinary "course of business" and made in accordance with ordinary business terms and is

therefore not recoverable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §547(c)(2). This is an objective test.

48. No response is required.

WHEREFORE, the Defendant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court dismiss

the Plaintiff's Complaint For Avoidance and Recovery of Preferential Transfers and Objections

to Claims and for such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DEFENDANT'S AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

48. That each Transfer received by the Defendant was a payment made in the ordinary

"course of business" of the Debtor and Defendant's and is therefore not recoverable pursuant to

11 U.S.C. §547(c)(2). This is a subjective test.

49. That each Transfer received by the Defendant was a payment made in the ordinary

"course of business" and made in accordance with ordinary business terms and is therefore not

recoverable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §547(c)(2). This is an objective test.

WHEREFORE, the Defendant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court dismiss

the Plaintiff's Complaint For Avoidance and Recovery of Preferential Transfers and Objections

to Claims and for such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: February 28, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ John J. Martin, Esquire

Law Offices of John J. Martin

1022 Court Street

Honesdale, PA 18431

(570) 253-6899

jmartin@martin-law.net

Attorney For Defendant

IN THE UNITED STAES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE:

ROCHESTER DRUG CO-OPERATIVE, INC. : 20-20230-PRW

.

DEBTOR(S) : CHAPTER 11

A DATICODAL TRUICE CROLID LLC. A C

ADVISORY TRUST GROUP, LLC, AS TRUSTEE OF THE RDC LIQUIDATION TRUST,

.

PLAINTIFF,

:

SENO, INC. D/B/A/ FALCON EXPRESS & COURIER; FALCON EXPRESS & COURIER

•

DEFENDANT.

V.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Defendant's Answer to

Complaint For Avoidance and Recovery of Preferential Transfers and Objections to Claims was
served upon the following in the manner indicated:

Jason S. Pmerantz, Esq Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP 789 Third Avenue, 34th Floor New York, NY 10017

Dated: February 28, 2022 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ John J. Martin, Esquire
Law Offices of John J. Martin
1022 Court Street
Honesdale, PA 18431
(570) 253-6899
jmartin@martin-law.net
Attorney For Defendant